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INHALE THERAPEUTIC SYSTEMS

## Condensed Balance Sheets

(in thousands)

| ASSETS |  |  | December 31, 1 <br> (Note) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 33,149 | \$ | 18,568 |
| Short-term investments |  | 28,020 |  | 17,741 |
| Note receivable |  | 5,000 |  | - |
| Other current assets |  | 1,797 |  | 1,239 |
| Total current assets |  | 67,966 |  | 37,548 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 6,701 |  | 3,770 |
| Deposits and other assets |  | 135 |  | 174 |
|  | \$ | 74,802 | \$ | 41,492 |
|  |  | -- |  | --- - |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 5,160 | \$ | 3, 042 |
| Accrued compensation |  | 1,257 |  | 479 |
| Deferred revenue |  | 6,208 |  | 2,723 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 12,625 |  | 6,244 |
| Equipment financing obligations |  | 162 |  | 187 |
| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, no par value: 30,000 shares authorized, 13,681 shares and |  |  |  |  |
| 11,835 shares issued and outstanding at |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 1997 and December 31, 1996, respectively |  | 94,055 |  | 62,840 |
| Deferred compensation |  | (7) |  | (88) |
| Accumulated deficit |  | $(32,033)$ |  | $(27,691)$ |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 62,015 |  | 35,061 |
|  | \$ | 74,802 | \$ | 41,492 |
|  |  | ------ |  | ----- |

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 1996 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

## Condensed Statements of Operations

(in thousands, except per share information) (unaudited)


SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

## Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

## Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents

(in thousands)
(unaudited)


# NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 

June 30, 1997
(unaudited)

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of Inhale Therapeutic Systems ("Inhale" or the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The balance sheet as of June 30, 1997 and the related statements of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 1997 and 1996 and cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 1997 and 1996 are unaudited but include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which the Company considers necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position at such dates and the operating results and cash flows for those periods. Although the Company believes that the disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information normally included in financial statements and related footnotes prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 1996 filed with the Commission.

Results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or for the entire year.

## 2. REVENUE RECOGNITION

Contract revenue from collaborative research agreements is recorded when earned and as the related costs are incurred. Payments received which are related to future performance are deferred and recognized as revenue when earned over future performance periods. In accordance with contract terms, up-front and milestone payments from collaborative research agreements are considered reimbursements for costs incurred under the agreements, and accordingly, are generally recognized based on actual efforts expended over the terms of the agreements. The Company's research revenue is derived primarily from partners in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. All of the Company's research and development agreements are generally cancelable by the partner without significant penalty to the partner.

Contract research revenue from two partners represented $71 \%$ of the Company's revenue in the six month period ended June 30, 1997. Contract revenue from two partners accounted for $87 \%$ of the Company's revenue in the comparable period in 1996. Costs of contract research revenue approximate such revenue and are included in research and development expenses.

## 3. NOTE RECEIVABLE

In April 1997 the Company provided the landlord of its facility in Belmont, California a short term loan of $\$ 5.0$ million. The loan bears interest at $8.0 \%$. The Company receives monthly interest payments and the principal is due and payable at the end of the six-month loan term. In addition, the loan is secured by a deed of trust on the facility. The loan is recorded on the balance sheet at June 30, 1997 as a note receivable.

## 4. NET LOSS PER SHARE

Net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding. Common equivalent shares from stock options and warrants are excluded from the computation as their effect is antidilutive.

In February 1997, the Financial Accounting Standard Board issued Statement No. 128, EARNINGS PER SHARE, which is required to be adopted on December 31,1997 . At that time, the Company will be required to change the method currently used to compute earnings per share and to restate all prior periods. Under the new
requirements for calculating basic, formerly primary, earnings per share, the dilutive effect of stock options will be excluded. The statement is expected to have no impact on the Company's primary or fully diluted earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 1997 and June 30, 1997.

## 5. EQUITY

In February 1997 the Company received $\$ 30.4$ million in net proceeds from a private placement of $1,800,000$ shares its Common Stock to a group of institutional investors at a price of $\$ 18$ per share.

ITEM 2.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 1997 and 1996 should be read in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those discussed here. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed herein as well as those discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## OVERVIEW

Since its inception in July 1990, Inhale has been engaged in the development of a pulmonary system for the delivery of macromolecules and other drugs for systemic and local lung applications. The Company has been unprofitable since inception and expects to incur significant and increasing additional operating losses over the next several years primarily due to increasing research and development expenditures and expansion of late stage clinical and early stage commercial manufacturing facilities. To date, Inhale has not sold any products and does not anticipate receiving revenue from product sales or royalties in the near future. For the period from inception through June 30, 1997, the Company incurred a cumulative net loss of approximately $\$ 32.0$ million. The sources of working capital have been equity financing, financing of equipment acquisitions, interest earned on investments of cash, and revenues from short-term research and feasibility agreements and development contracts.

Inhale typically has been compensated for research and development expenses during initial feasibility work performed under collaborative arrangements. Inhale's strategy is to enter into development contracts with pharmaceutical and biotechnology corporate partners after feasibility is demonstrated. Typically, partners that enter into collaborative agreements will pay for research and development expenses and may make payments to Inhale as it achieves certain key milestones. Inhale expects to receive royalties from its partners based on revenues received from product sales, and to receive revenue from the manufacturing of powders and the supply of devices. In certain cases, the Company may enter into collaborative agreements under which the Company's partners would manufacture or package powders or supply inhalation devices, thereby potentially limiting one or more sources of revenue for the Company. To achieve and sustain profitable operations, the Company, alone or with others, must successfully develop, obtain regulatory approval for, manufacture, introduce, market and sell products utilizing its pulmonary drug delivery system. There can be no assurance that the Company can generate sufficient product or contract research revenue to become profitable or to sustain profitability.

Revenue in the second quarter of 1997 was $\$ 3,973,000$, as compared to $\$ 1,448,000$ in the second quarter of 1996, an increase of $174 \%$. Revenues for the six months ended June 30,1997 were $\$ 7,150,000$ as compared to $\$ 2,930,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 1996, an increase of $144 \%$. The increase in revenue for both the three and six month periods was primarily due to the signing of additional corporate partners in 1997 as well as the expansion of the Company's existing collaborative agreements. Revenue for the first and second quarter of 1997 and 1996 was comprised of reimbursed research and development expenses and the amortization of the pro-rata portion of the up-front signing and milestone payments based on actual efforts expended. Costs of contract research revenue approximate such revenue and are included in research and development expenses.

Research and development expenses increased to approximately $\$ 5,644,000$ in the second quarter of 1997 from $\$ 3,516,000$ in the comparable period of 1996, an increase of 61\%. Research and development expenses for the six months ended June 30, 1997 were $\$ 10,213,000$ compared to $\$ 6,433,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 1996, an increase of $59 \%$. The increase for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 1997 is primarily attributed to continued expansion of research activities resulting from an increase in the number of projects, additional hiring of scientific personnel, costs associated with the development of the Company's commercial manufacturing facility and increased costs of laboratory supplies and consulting services. The Company expects research, development and process development spending to increase significantly over the next few years as the Company continues to expand its research and development and prepares for initial commercial manufacturing.

General and administrative expenses increased to $\$ 1,517,000$ in the second quarter of 1997 from $\$ 854,000$ in the second quarter of 1996, an increase of 78\%. For the six month period ended June 30, 1997 general and administrative expenses were $\$ 2,899,000$ compared to $\$ 1,555,000$ in the comparable period of 1996, an increase of $86 \%$. The increase for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 1997 was due primarily to support of the Company's increased research efforts including administrative staffing, business development activities and marketing activities. General and administrative expenses are expected to continue to increase to support increased levels of research, development and manufacturing activities.

Net interest income increased to \$890,000 in the second quarter of 1997 compared to $\$ 437,000$ in the second quarter of 1996 , an increase of $104 \%$. Net interest income increased to $\$ 1,620,000$ for the six months ended June 30 , 1997 compared to $\$ 672,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 1996. Interest income was earned on larger cash and investment balances held by the Company in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 1997, compared to the same period in 1996. The higher cash and investment balances are a result of the Company receiving milestone and research funding payments from collaborative partners as well as the completion of a private placement of the Company's Common Stock in February 1997 which raised net proceeds of $\$ 30.4$ million.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has financed its operations primarily through private placements and public offerings of its equity securities, contract research and milestone revenues, interest income earned on its investments of cash and financing of equipment acquisitions. On February 7, 1997 the Company completed a private placement of its Common Stock, selling 1.8 million newly issued shares for net proceeds of $\$ 30.4$ million. At June 30, 1997, the Company had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately $\$ 61.2$ million.

The Company's operations used cash of $\$ 1.7$ million in the six months ended June 30, 1997, as compared to $\$ 4.1$ million used in the six months ended June 30, 1996. The decrease in cash usage from operations is due primarily to the increase in payments received under the Company's collaborative agreements for work to be performed in future periods. Cash used in operations differed from the Company's net operating losses in these periods due principally to depreciation expenses, decreases in accounts receivable and increases in other current assets, notes receivable, accounts payable, deferred revenue and accrued liabilities.

In the six months ended June 30, 1997 the Company purchased property and equipment of $\$ 3.9$ million as compared to $\$ 1.0$ million for the same period in 1996. The increase is primarily due to the expansion of the Company's facilities.

The Company expects its cash requirements to increase due to expected increases in expenses related to the further research and development of its technologies resulting from a larger number of projects, development of drug formulations, process development for the manufacture and filling of powders and devices, marketing and general and administrative costs. These expenses include, but are not limited to, increases in personnel and personnel related costs, purchases of capital equipment, inhalation device prototype construction and facilities expansion, including the planning and building of a late-stage clinical and early-stage commercial manufacturing facility.

The Company believes that its cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments as of June 30, 1997 together with interest income and possible additional equipment financing, will be sufficient to meet its operating expense and capital expenditure requirements at least through 1998. However, the Company's capital needs will depend on many factors, including continued scientific progress in its research and development arrangements, progress with pre-clinical and clinical trials, the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals, the costs of developing and the rate of scale-up of the Company's powder processing and packaging technologies, the timing and cost of its late-stage clinical and early commercial production facility, the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims, the need to acquire licenses to new technologies and the status of competitive products. To satisfy its long-term needs, the Company intends to seek additional funding, as necessary, from corporate partners and from the sale of securities. There can be no assurance that additional funds, if and when required, will be available to the Company on favorable terms, if at all.

## RISK FACTORS

EARLY STAGE COMPANY. Inhale is in an early stage of development. There can be no assurance that the Company's pulmonary delivery technology will prove to be technically feasible or commercially applicable to a range of macromolecules and other drugs. Only four of the Company's pulmonary delivery formulations, insulin, Interleukin-1 Receptor, salmon calcitonin and a peptide for the treatment of osteoporosis have been subject to any human clinical testing. Although many of the underlying drug compounds with which the Company is working have been tested in humans by others using alternative delivery routes, Inhale's potential products will require extensive research, development, preclinical and clinical testing, and may involve lengthy regulatory review. There can be no assurance that any of the Company's potential products will prove safe and effective in clinical trials, meet applicable regulatory standards, be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at acceptable cost or be successfully marketed. Moreover, even if the Company's products prove to be safe and effective and are approved for marketing by the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and other regulatory authorities, there can be no assurance that health care providers, payors or patients will accept the Company's products. Any failure of the Company to achieve technical feasibility, demonstrate safety, achieve clinical efficacy, obtain regulatory approval or, together with partners, successfully market products, would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

HISTORY OF OPERATING LOSSES; UNCERTAINTY OF FUTURE PROFITABILITY. The Company has not been profitable since inception and, through June 30, 1997, had incurred a cumulative deficit of approximately $\$ 32.0$ million. The Company expects to continue to incur substantial and increasing losses over at least the next several years as the Company's research and development efforts, preclinical and clinical testing activities and manufacturing scale-up efforts expand and as the Company plans and builds its late stage clinical and early commercial production facility. All of the Company's potential products are in research or in the early stages of development, and no revenues have been generated from approved product sales. The Company's revenues to date have consisted primarily of payments under short-term research and feasibility agreements and development contracts. To achieve and sustain profitable operations, the Company, alone or with others, must successfully develop, obtain regulatory approval for, manufacture, introduce, market and sell products utilizing its pulmonary drug delivery system. There can be no assurance that the Company can generate sufficient product or contract research revenue to become profitable or to sustain profitability.

DEPENDENCE UPON PARTNERS. The Company currently does not possess the resources necessary to develop, complete the FDA approval process for, or commercialize any of its potential therapeutic products. The Company's ability to apply its pulmonary delivery system to a broad range of drugs will depend upon its ability to establish and maintain collaborative arrangements since many of the drugs currently approved for sale or in clinical testing are covered by third party patents. The Company has entered into
certain of its partners to fund clinical trials, assist in obtaining regulatory approval and commercialize certain products. Inhale has also entered into agreements with partners to test the feasibility of its pulmonary delivery system with certain of their proprietary molecules. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to enter into additional collaborations or that its feasibility agreements will lead to collaborations. There also can be no assurance that the Company will be able to maintain any such collaborative arrangements or feasibility agreements or that any such collaborative arrangements or feasibility agreements will be successful. The failure of the Company to enter into or maintain such collaborative arrangements and feasibility agreements would have a material adverse effect on the Company. Moreover, the inability of the Company to enter into a collaborative arrangement with the owner of any patented drug may preclude the Company from working with such drug.

The Company's existing partners have the rights to pursue parallel development of other drug delivery systems which may compete with the Company's pulmonary drug delivery system and to terminate their agreements with the Company at any time without significant penalty. The Company anticipates that any future partners would have similar rights. Although the Company intends generally to formulate and manufacture powders for partners and to supply inhalation devices for such powders, certain partners may choose to formulate or manufacture their own powders, or to develop or supply their own device, thereby limiting one or more potential sources of revenue for Inhale. In addition, the Company anticipates that it may be precluded from entering into arrangements with companies whose products compete with products sold by its partners. The Company also will have limited or no control over the resources that any partner may devote to the Company's products, over partners' development efforts, including the design and conduct of clinical trials, and over the pricing of any such products. The pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are consolidating, and acquisitions by, or of, the Company's existing or potential collaborative partners may affect the initiation or continuation of any such collaborations. There can be no assurances that any of the Company's present or future collaborative partners will perform their obligations as expected, will devote sufficient resources to the development, clinical testing or marketing of the Company's potential products or will not terminate their agreements with the Company prematurely. Any parallel development by a partner of alternate drug delivery systems, development by a partner rather than by Inhale of components of the delivery system, preclusion from entering into competitive arrangements, failure to obtain timely regulatory approvals, premature termination of an agreement, or failure by a partner to devote sufficient resources to the development and commercialization of the Company's products, would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

DEPENDENCE UPON PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGY, UNCERTAINTY OF OBTAINING LICENSES OR DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGY. The Company's success will depend in part upon protecting its proprietary technology from infringement, misappropriation, duplication and discovery. The Company intends to rely principally on a combination of patent law, trade secrets and contract law to protect its proprietary technology in the United States and abroad. Inhale has filed patent applications covering certain aspects of its device, powder processing technology, and powder formulations and pulmonary route of delivery for certain molecules, and plans to file additional patent applications. On October 17, 1995 the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") issued U.S. Patent No. 5,458,135 to Inhale covering the use of its device as a method for delivering powder formulations of drugs to the lung. There can be no assurance that any of the patents applied for by the Company will issue, or that any patents that issue will be valid and enforceable. Even if such patents are enforceable, the Company anticipates that any attempt to enforce its patents could be time consuming and costly.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical, biotechnology and drug delivery companies, including Inhale, are uncertain and involve complex legal and factual issues. Additionally, the coverage claimed in a patent application can be significantly reduced before the patent is issued. As a consequence, the Company does not know whether any of its patent applications will result in the issuance of patents or, if any patents issue, whether they will provide significant proprietary protection or will be circumvented or invalidated. Since patent applications in the United States are maintained in secrecy until patents issue, and since publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lag behind actual discoveries, the Company cannot be certain that it was the first inventor of inventions covered by its pending patent applications or that it was the first to file patent applications for such inventions. Moreover, the Company may have to participate in interference proceedings declared by the PTO to determine priority of invention, which could result in substantial cost to the Company, even if the eventual outcome is favorable to the Company. There can be no court of competent jurisdiction. An adverse outcome could subject the Company to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from or to third parties or require the Company to cease using the technology in dispute.

The Company is aware of numerous pending and issued United States and foreign patent rights and other proprietary rights owned by third parties that relate to aerosol devices and delivery, pharmaceutical formulations, dry powder processing technology and the pulmonary route of delivery for certain macromolecules. The Company cannot predict with any certainty which, if any, patents and patent applications will be considered relevant to the Company's technology by authorities in the various jurisdictions where such rights exist, nor can the Company predict with certainty which, if any, of these rights will or may be asserted against it by such third parties. The Company is aware of an alternate dry powder processing technology which Inhale is not using for its current products under development but may desire to use for certain products in the future. The ownership of this powder processing technology is unclear and the Company is aware that multiple parties, including Inhale, claim patent, trade secret and other rights in the technology. If the Company determines that this alternate powder processing technology is relevant to the development of future products and further determines that a license to this alternate powder processing technology is needed, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain a license from the relevant party or parties on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain any license to any technology that the Company determines it needs, on reasonable terms, if at all, or that Inhale could develop or otherwise obtain alternate technology. The failure of the Company to obtain licenses if needed would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Third parties from time to time have asserted and may assert that the Company is employing technology that they believe is based on issued patents, trade secrets or know-how of others. In addition, future patents may issue to third parties which the Company's technology may infringe. The Company could incur substantial costs in defending itself and its partners against any such claims. Furthermore, parties making such claims may be able to obtain injunctive or other equitable relief which could effectively block the Company's ability to further develop or commercialize some or all of its products in the United States and abroad, and could result in the award of substantial damages. In the event of a claim of infringement, the Company and its partners may be required to obtain one or more licenses from third parties. There can be no assurance that the Company or its partners will be able to obtain such licenses at a reasonable cost, if at all. Defense of any lawsuit or failure to obtain any such license could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company's ability to develop and commercialize its technology will be affected by the Company's or its partners' access to the drugs which are to be formulated. Many drugs, including powder formulations of certain drugs which are presently under development by the Company, are subject to issued and pending United States and foreign patent rights which may be owned by competing entities. There are issued patents and pending patent applications relating to the pulmonary delivery of macromolecule drugs, including several for which the Company is developing pulmonary delivery formulations. Specifically, a patent has been granted in Europe which is directed to aerosol formulations of serine protease inhibitors, including alpha-1 antitrypsin, for the treatment of the lung. The resulting patent situation is highly complex, and the ability of any one company to commercialize a particular biopharmaceutical drug is highly unpredictable. The Company intends generally to rely on the ability of its partners to provide access to the drugs which are to be formulated for pulmonary delivery. There can be no assurance that the Company's partners will be able to provide access to drug candidates for formulation for pulmonary delivery or that, if such access is provided, the Company or its partners will not be accused of, or determined to be, infringing a third party's rights and will not be prohibited from working with the drug or be found liable for damages that may not be subject to indemnification. Any such restriction on access or liability for damages would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company also will rely on trade secrets and contract law to protect certain of its proprietary technology. There can be no assurance that any such contract will not be breached, or that if breached, the Company will have adequate remedies. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that any of the Company's trade secrets will not become known or independently discovered by third parties.

The PTO has recently adopted changes to the United States patent law which change the term of issued patents, subject to certain transition periods, to 20 years from the date of filing rather than 17 years from date of issuance. Beginning in June 1995, the patent term became 20 years from the earliest effective filing date of the underlying patent application. Such change may reduce the effective term of protection for patents that are pending for more than three years in the PTO. In addition, as of January
third party located or doing work outside of the United States. While the Company cannot predict the effect that such changes will have on its business, such changes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to protect its proprietary information and sustain the commercial viability of its products. Furthermore, the possibility of extensive delays in such process, could effectively further reduce the term during which a marketed product could be protected by patents.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION; UNCERTAINTY OF OBTAINING REGULATORY APPROVAL. The production and marketing of the Company's products and its ongoing research and development activities are subject to regulation by numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries. Prior to marketing a new dosage form of any drug, including one developed for use with the Company's pulmonary drug delivery system, whether or not such drug was already approved for marketing in another dosage form, the product must undergo rigorous preclinical and clinical testing and an extensive review process mandated by the FDA and equivalent foreign authorities. These processes generally take a number of years and require the expenditure of substantial resources. None of the Company's proposed products has been submitted to the FDA for marketing approval. The Company has no experience obtaining such regulatory approval, does not have the expertise or other resources to do so and intends to rely on its partners to fund clinical testing and to obtain product approvals.

The time required for completing such testing and obtaining such approvals is uncertain. Further refinement of the device prototype, further scale-up of the powder processing system and automated powder filling and packaging system will need to be accomplished before initiation of later stage clinical trials. Any delay in any of these components of product development may delay testing. In addition, delays or rejections may be encountered based upon changes in FDA policy during the period of product development. Similar delays may also be encountered in other countries. If regulatory approval of a product is granted, such approval may entail limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed, and the marketed product, its manufacturer, and its manufacturing facilities remain subject to continual review and periodic inspections. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, manufacturer, or facility may result in restrictions on such product or manufacturer, including withdrawal of the product from the market. There can be no assurance that regulatory approval will be obtained for any products developed by the Company on a timely basis, or at all. The failure to obtain timely regulatory approval of its products, any product marketing limitations or a product withdrawal would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

HIGHLY COMPETITIVE INDUSTRY; RISK OF TECHNOLOGICAL OBSOLESCENCE. The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are highly competitive and rapidly evolving and significant developments are expected to continue at a rapid pace. The Company's success depends upon maintaining a competitive position in the development of products and technologies for pulmonary delivery of pharmaceutical drugs. If a competing company were to develop or acquire rights to a better dry powder pulmonary delivery device or fine powder processing technology, a better system for efficiently and reproducibly delivering drugs to the deep lung, a non-invasive drug delivery system which is more attractive for the delivery of drugs than pulmonary delivery, or an invasive delivery system which overcomes some of the drawbacks of current invasive systems for chronic or subchronic indications (such as a sustained release system), the Company's business would be materially adversely affected.

The Company is in competition with pharmaceutical, biotechnology and drug delivery companies, hospitals, research organizations, individual scientists and nonprofit organizations engaged in the development of alternative drug delivery systems or new drug research and testing, as well as with entities producing and developing injectable drugs. The Company is aware of a number of companies currently seeking to develop new products and non-invasive alternatives to injectable drug delivery, including oral delivery systems, intranasal delivery systems, transdermal systems and colonic absorption systems. Several of these companies may have or be developing dry powder devices that could be used for pulmonary delivery. The Company is also aware of other companies currently engaged in the development and commercialization of pulmonary drug delivery systems and enhanced injectable drug delivery systems. Many of these companies and entities have greater research and development capabilities, experience, manufacturing, marketing, financial and managerial resources than the Company and represent significant competition for the Company. Acquisitions of competing drug delivery companies by large pharmaceutical companies could enhance competitors' financial, marketing and other resources. Accordingly, the Company's competitors may succeed in developing competing technologies, obtaining FDA approval for products more

Item 1. Legal Proceedings - Not Applicable
Item 2. Changes in Securities
(c) On February 7, 1997, the Company sold 1,800,000 shares of Common Stock to several institutional investors for an aggregate offering price of $\$ 32,400,000$ pursuant to Section $4(2)$ of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Vector Securities International, Inc. acted as placement agent in connection with the sale and was paid a fee equal to 5.5\% of the aggregate purchase price.

On June 27, 1997 the Company issued 28,165 shares of Common Stock to Pafra Limited ("Pafra") in consideration of certain intellectual property rights granted to the Company by Pafra pursuant to the Assignment of the Permazyme Technology, and Related Agreements and Goodwill, dated June 27,1997, between the Company and Pafra. The issuance was made pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities - None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders -
(a) The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Inhale Therapeutic Systems was held on June 17, 1997.
(b) The matters voted upon at the meeting and the voting of shareholders with respect thereto are as follows:

The election of Robert B. Chess, John S. Patton, Terry L. Opdendyk, Mark J. Gabrielson, James B. Glavin and Melvin Perelman to the Board of Directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until his successor is elected and has qualified, or until such director's earlier death, resignation or removal:

| Robert B. Chess | $9,046,072$ | 5,068 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| John S. Patton | $9,046,572$ | 4,568 |
| Terry L. Opdendyk | $9,046,572$ | 4,568 |
| Mark J. Gabrielson | $9,046,072$ | 5,068 |
| James B. Glavin | $9,045,472$ | 5,668 |
| Melvin Perelman, Ph.D. | $9,031,496$ | 19,644 |

Ratification of the selection of Ernst \& Young, LLP as independent auditors of the Company for its fiscal year ending December 31, 1997:

For: 9,042,456
Against: 6,726
Abstain: 1,958
Based on the voting results, each of the directors nominated was elected and the ratification of Ernst \& Young, LLP was approved.

Item 5. Other Information - None
(\#) Exhibits
The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference

| EXHIBIT | EXHIBIT TITLE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1(3) | Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Reg |
| 3.2(1) | Bylaws of the Registrant. |
| 4.1 | Reference is made to Exhibits 3.1 through 3.2. |
| 4.2(1) | Restated Investor Rights Agreement among the Registrant and certain other persons named therein, dated April 29, 1993, as amended October 29, 1993. |
| 4.5(1) | Warrant to purchase 18,182 Shares of Series C Preferred Stock between the Registrant and Phoenix Leasing Incorporated, dated October 29, 1993. |
| 4.6(1) | Specimen stock certificate |
| 4.9(2) | Stock Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and Pfizer Inc., dated January 18, 1995. |
| 4.10(8) | Warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock between the Registrant and Thomas J. Peirona, dated November 1, 1996. |
| 4.11(8) | Warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock between the Registrant and Kiet Nguyen, dated November 1, 1996. |
| 4.12(9) | Form of Stock Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and the Selling Shareholders dated January 28, 1997. |
| 10.1(4) | Registrant's 1994 Equity Incentive Plan (the Equity Incentive Plan). |
| 10.2(1) | Form of Incentive Stock Option under the Equity Incentive Plan. |
| 10.3(1) | Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option under the Equity Incentive Plan. |
| 10.4(7) | Registrant's 1994 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended. |
| 10.5(1) | Registrant's 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. |
| 10.6(1) | Standard Industrial Lease between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Co., Inc., dated September 17, 1992, as amended September 18, 1992. |
| 10.7(1) | Master Equipment Lease between the Registrant and Phoenix Leasing Incorporated, dated August 15, 1992 and Schedules i to 4 thereto. |
| 10.8(1) | Senior Loan and Security Agreement between the Registrant and Phoenix Leasing Incorporated, dated September 15, 1993. |
| 10.9(1) | Sublicense Agreement between the Registrant and John S. Patton, dated September 13, 1991. |
| 10.10(2) | Offer Letter, dated September 16, 1994, from the Registrant to Jack M. Anthony. |
| 10.11(2) | Addendum to Lease dated September 17, 1992, between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Marie A. Batton. |
| 10.12(6) | Lease dated May 31, 1995, between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Marie A. Batton. |
| 10.13(6) | Addendum Number One to Lease dated September 17, 1992, between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Marie A. Batton. |
| 10.14(6) | Addendum to Lease dated May 31, 1995 between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Marie A. Batton. |
| 10.15(6) | Addendum Number Two to Lease dated September 17, 1992, between the Registrant and W.F. Batton \& Marie A. Batton. |
| 10.16(5) | Stock Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and Baxter World Trade Corporation, dated March 1, 1996. |
| 10.17(8) | Sublease and Lease Agreement, dated October 2, 1996 between the Registrant and T.M.T. Associates L.L.C. |
| 27.1 | Financial Data Schedule |

(1) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-75942), as amended.
(2) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-89502), as amended.
(3) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994.
(4) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-07969).
(5) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1996.
(6) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995.
(7) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1996.
(8) Incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996.
(9) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-20787)
(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

No reports were filed on Form 8-K during the quarter ended June 30, 1997
(c) See Exhibits listed under Item 14(a)(3).

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto.

INHALE THERAPEUTIC SYSTEMS

DATE: August 13, 1997

DATE: August 13, 1997

BY: /S/ROBERT B. CHESS
--------------------
Robert B. Chess
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

BY: /S/JUDI R. LUM
-----------------------
Judi R. Lum
Chief Financial Officer

THIS SCHEDULE CONTAINS SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR INHALE THERAPEUTIC SYSTEMS FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1997 AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO SUCH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
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